



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,032	06/26/2001	Naoyuki Ogino	81784.0239	3402
26021 75	08/11/2005	•	EXAM	INER
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. 500 S. GRAND AVENUE			ROJAS, MIDYS	
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071-2611			2189	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
I	09/893,032	OGINO, NAOYUKI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Midys Rojas	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication.  days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONII, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>27 <i>May 2005</i></u> .					
• =	a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5-8 and 10-17</u> is/are pendir	Claim(s) <u>2,5-8 and 10-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-8 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected	•					
	, —— ,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> i	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)∐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority do  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been	oplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
<ul> <li>Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Claims 2, 5-8, and 10-17 are pending in this application. Claim 2 has been allowed.

Claims 5-8 and 10-17 remain rejected.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on May 27<sup>th</sup>, 2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the digital signal processor 15 of Watanabe does not receive a

request signal from a CD-ROM decoder or anti-shock controller a taught by claim 7 or generates

an output signal for controlling the memory 17. However claim 7 teaches a first arbiter that

generates an output signal according to a request signal. It does not teach the request signal

being an input to the arbiter. The signal processor 15 outputs a sub-code (output signal) that

controls the operation of the buffer memory since it is used by control microcomputer 18 to

determine if the operation of decoder 20 will be that of a CD-ROM decoder or an anti-shock

controller and according to the request signals from decoder 20 depending on the particular

operation mode, the buffer memory will be used differently (Col. 8, lines 6-27).

Applicant also argues that control microcomputer 18 of Watanabe does not select an

output signal from the arbiter or digital signal processor 15. Additionally, applicant argues that

control microcomputer 18 does not receive a decoded signal from the digital signal processor 15.

However, microcomputer 18 selects control code from the sub-code output from signal processor

15. This selected sub-code allows fro microcomputer 18 to control the operation of decoder 20

as a CD-ROM decoder or an anti-shock controller. Moreover, although applicant argues that

control microcomputer 18 does not receive a decoded signal from the digital signal processor 15,

Application/Control Number: 09/893,032

Art Unit: 2189

claim 7 does not claim the receipt of a **decoded** signal. Watanabe's control microprocessor 18 does receive a sub-code signal from signal processor 15 (Col. 8, lines 6-27).

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5-8, 10-14, and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (5,818,801) in view of Lee (6,292,440).

Regarding Claims 5, 7, 10, and 17, Watanabe et al. discloses a signal processing circuit for processing a signal reproduced from a CD in which a CD-ROM data or CD-DA data (audio data) 12 is written comprising:

a memory (buffer RAM 17);

a CD-ROM decoder 20 writing, when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-ROM, incoming CD-Rom data into said memory 17, and decoding said CD-ROM data while reading out the CD-ROM data from said memory (see Figure 6);

an anti-shock controller 20 causing, when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-DA a predetermined amount of incoming CD-DA (audio data) to be stored in said memory 17, and reading and outputting the audio data from said memory so that continuous output can be achieved even when the incoming audio data is interrupted (Column 7, lines 28-49);

Art Unit: 2189

a first arbiter (digital signal processor 15) for generating an output signal for controlling said memory according to a request signal from said CD-ROM decoder;

a second arbiter (digital signal processor 1 5) for generating an output signal for controlling said memory according to a request signal from said anti-shock controller;

and a selection circuit (control microcomputer 18) for selecting an output signal from said first or second arbiter;

wherein an output signal from said first arbiter is selected by said selection circuit when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-ROM, and, when the CD from which the signal is to be reproduced is a CD-DA, an output signal from said second arbiter is selected by said selection circuit (Column 8, lines 6-39).

In the system of Watanabe et al. the decoder 20 has both the function of shockproof control of audio data (anti-shock controller) and error correction of CD-ROM data (CCCD-ROM decoder'); the system does not perform the shockproof operation and the error correction operation simultaneously, thus allowing the shockproof function and the error correction function to share the buffer RAM 17 (memory) in their operation. Additionally, the digital signal processor 15 acts as both a first and second arbiter since it generates sub-code identifying the type of CD being used (CD-ROM or CD-DA); it sends the sub-code to the control microcomputer 18 which uses the sub-code to send a control signal to the CD-ROM decoder 20, thus deciding whether this component will take on the function of CD-ROM decoder or antishock controller. When the digital signal processor 15 sends sub-code for a CD-ROM, it is acting as a first arbiter and when the digital signal processor 15 sends sub-code for a CD-DA, it is

Watanabe et al. does not teach an MP3 decoder for decoding data encoded in MP3 format and output from the CD-ROM decoder in MP3 format.

Lee teaches an MP3 car player which has the ability to read both CDs and MP3 CD-ROMS using a file type detector 100, which determines what is the file format of the audio file. If the file format is determined to be MP3, the file goes through an MP3 tile input unit and an MP3 decoder. Once it has been decoded through the MP3 decoder, the tile is passed through the A/D converter (CD-ROM decoder) allowing the data to be outputted through a speaker (See Figure 1, Abstract, and column 1, lines 48-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the MP3 decoder to the system of Watanabe et al. in order to give this audio system the ability to read MP3 audio files and thus, making it a more complete system for an user to enjoy. Integrating MP3 capabilities improves the system's performance since more MP3 music files fit in a disk than regular audio formatted music files. Therefore, adding the MP3 format gives an user more hours of listening time. In integrating an MP3 decoder to the invention, an MP3-decoder would operate similar to the operation of the CD decoder and thus, it would be supplied with data from the buffer memory 17. Additionally, the arbiter of the invention (signal processor 15) would generate a signal representative of MP3 data.

Regarding Claim 6, Watanabe discloses a control microcomputer 18 (selection circuit), which controls and enables the functions of the CD-ROM decoder and the shockproof controller (see figure 6 and column 8, lines 6-38). It would have been obvious to allow the control microcomputer of Watanabe to control the function of the MP3 decoder in order to keep the system orderly by controlling of all system functions from one main controller.

Regarding Claims 8 and 14, Watanabe et al. teaches the signal processing circuit of claim 7, further comprising an access control circuit (control microcomputer 18) for outputting to said memory based on the selected signal, at least an address signal for said memory, a write enable signal, and a read enable signal (see Figure 6). In this system, the control microcomputer 18 serves the roles of selection circuit as well as access control circuit. Once it sends the control signal to the CD-ROM decoder identifying which functions this component will perform, it also enables the writing of data and reading of data from the buffer memory 17 (Column 8, lines 6-39). For the writing and reading of data to occur, write/read enable signals must be present. Any other signals necessary for access into the memory must also be present (CAS and RAS signals).

Regarding claim 11, the access control circuit (control microcomputer 18) receives as input address data the sub-code related to the CD-ROM or CD-DA which in turn is used to determine what type of signals will be sent to the buffer memory 17 and the decoder 20 (Column 8, lines 6-39).

Regarding Claim 12, one of the CD-DA data or the CD-ROM data is supplied to the system of Watanabe et al. via data input circuit (CD Pickup 11, Figure 6).

Regarding Claim 13, the system of Watanabe et al. stores the data in the buffer memory 17 through the use of a DSP interface circuit 20c. At this time, the data transmission includes a sub-code since this sub-code was previously transmitted from the digital signal processor 15 to the control microcomputer 18 in the same manner. Additionally, the data in the buffer RAM is subjected to error correction by the error correcting circuit 20b; at which point some kind of error indication must exist (error tag).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,032 Page 7

Art Unit: 2189

Regarding Claim 16, Watanabe et al. teaches that in switching between CD-DA data and CD-ROM data, the data written before the switch is overwritten by data after the switch. The system of Watanabe et al. does not perform the shockproof operation for the CD-DA data and the error correction operation for the CD-ROM data simultaneously; it allows the shockproof function and the error correction function to share the buffer RAM 17 (memory) in their operation (Column 8, lines 40-58). Therefore, once one of the two functions is complete, the buffer memory dedicates itself to the completion of the other function and thus, replaces data from the first function with data from the current function.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. (5,81 8,801) in view of Lee (6,292,440) and further in view of Microsoft Dictionary. Watanabe et al in view of Lee teaches the invention as set forth by claim 8 above. Watanabe does not teach generating a refresh timing of the memory. Microsoft dictionary discloses a refresh cycle in which repeated electric pulses are provided to a dynamic RAM in order to renew the stored electric charges in the location containing a binary 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the refresh cycle of Microsoft Dictionary with the system of the combination of Watanabe in view of Lee because, due to the simplicity and large capacity of dynamic RAMs, the buffer RAM of Watanabe et al. is most likely a dynamic RAM (page 159) and in the case of a dynamic RAM, refresh cycles are necessary in order to avoid data loss (page 379).

## Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,032 Page 8

Art Unit: 2189

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Midys Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-4207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-4210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Midys Rojas

Examiner

Art Unit 2189 Lano Calmanathan

Algorian MANO PADMANABHAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER